## United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



# BRIEF FOR APPELLANT

74-1507

TO BE ARGUED BY CARL O. CALLENDER, ESQ.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT		
	X	
OTIS CLAY,	:	
Plaintiff-Appellant,	:	Docket Number
-against-	:	74/1507
DOCTOR WILLIAM R. MARTIN,	:	
DOCTOR PETER A. MANSKY, and DOCTOR DONALD R. JASINSKI,	:	
-and-	:	
THE UNITED STATES SURGEON GENERAL,	:	
THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE DIRECTOR OF THE BUREAU OF PRISONS,	:	
-and-	:	
THE UNITED STATES,	:	
Defendants-Appellees	:	
	- x	

ADDENDUM TO APPELLANT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO THE COURT ORDER DISMISSING THE INSTANT CAUSE OF ACTION ON THE MERITS

CARL O. CALLENDER, ESQ.
Community Law Offices
176 East 106th Street
New York, New York 10029
(212) 369-2007

On The Brief: Carl O. Callender, Esq. Arthur Helton

TO BE ARGUED BY CARL O. CALLENDER, ESQ.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

OTIS CLAY,

Plaintiff-Appellant :

Docket

-against-

: Number 74/1507

DOCTOR WILLIAM R. MARTIN, DOCTOR PETER A. MANSKY, and DOCTOR DONALD R. JASINSKI,

-and-

THE UNITED STATES SURGEON GENERAL
THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL
THE DIRECTOR OF THE BUREAU OF PRISONS,

-and-

THE UNITED STATES,

Defendants-Appellees :

X

ADDENDUM TO APPELLANT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO THE COURT ORDER DISMISSING THE INSTANT CAUSE OF ACTION ON THE MERITS

CARL O. CALLENDER, ESQ.
Community Law Offices
176 East 106th Street
New York, New York 10029
(212) 369-2007

On The Brief: Carl O. Callender, Esq. Arthur Helton

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY TO DISPENSE WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF AN APPENDIX IN THE INSTANT APPEAL	2
DOCKET ENTRIES CONSTITUTING THE ORIGINAL RECORD IN THE PROCEEDING BELOW	3
COPY OF ENDORSEMENT OF DISMISSAL BY THE DISTRICT COURT	5
ADDENDUM TO BRIEF OF THE APPELLANT	
1. TABLE OF AUTHORITY	. 6
2. CORRIGENDA	10

STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY TO DISPENSE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF AN APPENDIX IN THE INSTANT APPEAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 30 (f) and § 30 (2) of the Supplemental Rules of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, the instant appeal is to be heard on the original record without the necessity of an appendix as a proceeding conducted in forma pauperis.

DOCKET ENTRIES CONTITUTING THE ORIGINAL RECORD IN THE PROCEEDINGS BELOW.

- 1. Complaint <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> and Order granting Plaintiff leave to proceed in forma pauperis
- 2. Summons and Proof of Service
- 3. Answer
- 4. Plaintiff's memoranda
- Plaintiff's Interrogatories
- 6. Affidavit of Service of Interrogatories
- Stipulation and Order extending time to answer Interrogatories
- 8. Answer to Interrogatories
- 9. Further Answer to Interrogatories
- 10. Order of Dismissel for Failure of Plaintiff to appear at Pre-trial Conference
- 11. Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Affirmation for Relief from Order of Dismissal
- Order of Relief from Order of Dismissal by Ryan, J.
- 13. Notice of Appearance of Attorney for Plaintiff
- 14. Amended Complaint
- 15. Answer to Amended Complaint
- Plaintiff's Notice of Demand for Jury Trial
- 17. Plaintiff's Notice of Motion, Affirmation and Affidavit for leave to file Amended Complaint/Endorsement of Dismissal by Ryan, J.

- 18. Defendants' Notice of Motion to Dismiss and Affidavit and Memorandum of Law in support of Motion to Dismiss/Endorsement of Dismissal by Ryan, J.
- 19. Plaintiff's Affirmation in oppositon to Motion to Dismiss
- 20. Judgment
- 21. Notice of Appeal, Memorandum, and Endorsement granting leave to appeal in forma pauperis
- 22. Certificate of the Clerk of the District Court.

OTIS CLAY V. DOCTOR WILLAM R. MARTIN et al.

E<sup>N</sup>dorsement 71 Civ. 2306



This action was commenced by the filing of a Pro Se commenced by the filing of a Pro Se commenced this complaint on August 1971. Thereafter, plaintiff did nothing to prosecute the contil August 14, 1973 when, through his attorney, he nerved togetories, which defendants thereafter answered.

This action was dismissed for lack of prosecution on 20, 1973. The order of dismissal was later vacated "with lot to the plaintiff to file, within twenty-five days....an amend complaint containing a short, concise statement of plaintiff's claim." Although plaintiff filed what he calls an amended containing a short if filed what he calls an amended containing a short if filed what he calls an amended containing to define the prior of plaintiff. All of plaintiff's complaints are defective as verge, subject macter, jurisdiction and improper parties as these objections were set forth and pleaded in the terral independence which were filed as an answer to plaintiff's new collection on January 23, 1974.

The plaintiff againsmoves for leave to file a further a corplaint, and this motion is opposed by the United States representing the named defendants, and the United States Attack moves to again dismiss the action.

Upon the file and record, the plaintiff's motion to e further amended complaint is denied and defendants' motion to is granted. The Complaint is dimissed upon the merits and is directed to enter such judgment promotly without further

So ordered.

Dated: March 14, 1974.

-/- SYRESTER J. RYAM U.S.P.J.

ONLY COPY AVAILABLE

## TABLE OF AUTHORITY

CASES	PAGE(S)
Ansback v. Greenberg, 256 S.W. 2d (KY. 1953)	8
Arnett v. Thompson, 433 S.W. 2d 109 (KY. 1968)	8
Barr v. Matteo, 360 U.S. 564 (1959)	12
Biven v. Six Unknown Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics 456F. 2d 1339 (2d Cir. 1972)	14
Croney v. Louisville and Nashville RY. 14 F.R.D. 356 (S.D.N.Y. 1953)	1
Dalehite v. United States, 346 U.S. 15 (1953)	12 & 18
Erie R.R. v. <u>Tompkins</u> , 304 U.S. 64 (1938)	8
Ferraioli v. Cantor, 259F. Supp. 842 (S.D.N.Y. 1966)	1
Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178 (1962)	2 & 21
Foster v. Leggett, 484 S.W. 2d 827 (KY. 1972)	8
Gibson v. United States, 457F. 2d 1391 (3rd Cir. 1972)	18
Gregorie v. <u>Biddle</u> , 177F. 2d 579 (2d Cir. 1949) <u>cert. denied</u> 339 U.S. 949 (1950)	12

CASES	PAGE(S)
<pre>Hendry v. United States, 418F.2d 774 (2d Cir. 1969)</pre>	19
Indian Towing Co. v. United States 350 U.S. 61 (1955)	18
<pre>Ingham v. Eastern Airlines Inc. 373F. 2d 227 (2d Cir. 1967) gert. denied 389 U.S. 931 (1967)</pre>	20
<u>Johnson</u> v. <u>Vaughn</u> , 370 S.W. 2d 591 (KY. 1963)	9
Ove Gustavsson Contracting Co. v. Floete, 299F. 2d 655 (2d Cir. 1962) cert. denied 374 U.S. 827 (1963)	13
Rayonier Inc. v. United States, 352 U.S. 315 (1957)	12
Ripperger v. Allyn, 113F. 2d 332 (2d Cir. 1940) cert. denied, 311 U.S. 695 (1940)	1
Shedd Brown Mfg. Co. v. Tichenor, 257 S.W. 2d 894 (KY. 1953)	9
Spalding v. Vilas, 161 U.S. 483 (1896)	12
<u>United States</u> v. <u>Muniz</u> , 374 U.S. 150 (1963)	17
Wessling v. Paris, 417 S.W. 2d 259 (KY. 1967)	8
White v. United States, 317F. 2d 13 (4th Cir. 1963)	19

STATUTES	PAGE(S)
Crimes and Criminal Procedure, 18 U.S.C.A.§ 4001 (b)(1)(2) (1969)	6 & 7
Crimes and Criminal Precedure, 18 U.S.C.A. § 4005(a) (1969)	7 & 8
Crimes and Criminal Procedure, 18 U.S.C.A. 4042 (1)(2) and (3) (1969)	7 & 8
Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, 28 U.S.C.A. § 1332 (1966)	16
Judiciary and Judicial Procedure 28 U.S.C.A. § 1346(2)(b) 1962)	8,9 & 16
Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, 28 U.S.C.A. § 1391 (Supp. 1974)	1 & 4
Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, 28 U.S.C.A. § and 1402 (1962)	1
Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, 28 U.S.C.A. § 1652 (1966)	8
Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, 28 U.S.C.A. § 1653 (1966)	21
Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, 28 U.S.C.A. § 2401(b) (Supp. 1974)	20,21 & 22
Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, 28 U.S.C.A. § 2675(a) (Supp. 1974)	20
Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, 28 U.S.C.A. § 2680(a)(h)(1965)	17
Public Health and Welfare, 42 U.S.C.A. § 202 (1969)	7 & 8

STATUTES	PAGE(S)
Public Health and Welfare, 42 U.S.C.A. § 233(a) Supp. 1974)	10,11 & 12
Public Health and Welfare, 42 U.S.C.A.§ 250 (1969)	7 & 8
Fed. R. Civ. P.4(d)	4
Fed. R. Civ. P.8(a)	4 & 23
Fed. R. Civ.P.12(b)(2)	4
Fed. R. Civ. P.12(e)	IV, 23 & 24
Fed. R. Civ. P.12(h)(1)	5
Fed. R. Civ. P.15(a)	2 & 21
Fed. R. Civ. P.21	15
Fed. R. Civ. P.41(b)	23 & 24
SECONDARY AUTHORITY	
J. <u>Moore</u> , <u>FEDERAL</u> <u>PRACTICE</u> (2 ed. 1974)	1,2,8 & 21
Mulford, Experimentation on Human Beings, 20 Stan. L. Rev.99 (1967)	14 & 19
W. Wright, The Federal Tort Claims Act, (1957)	16
W. Wright, The Federal Tort Claims Act, (Supp. 1959)	17

### CORRIGENDA

- page i, title "ISSUES" instead of "ISSUE"

line 3 "?" instead of "."

- 3. page ii, line 4 "the appellant," inserted after "Otis Clay"line 7 "title" instead of "tittle"
- 5. page iv, line 3 "defendants" instead of "defendant" line
  5 "defendants" instead of "defendant",
  ", Assistant United States Attorney," inserted after "William S. Brandt" line
  6 "." after "1974"- line 7 "denied plaintiff's application for leave to file an
  amended complaint and" inserted after
  "district court" in line 7 line 8 "Ryan,
  J." instead of "Ryan J."
- 6. page v, line 7 "attack" instead of "attach"
- 7. page 1 line 9 "1630" instead of "1631", insert "2" before "ed." line 12 insert "(Supp. 1974)" after "§§ 1391"
- 8. Insert "Judiciary and Judicial Procedure" for "Judiciary Code" wherever appearing

- 9. "U.S.C." citations are to the United States Code
  Annotated wheresoever appearing
- 10. page 2, line 9 insert "3" before "J. MOORE" line 10 insert "2" before "ed."
- 12. page 7, line 1 insert "and (2)" after "§§ 4001 (b) (1)"
- 13. page 8, line 3 insert "(1969)" after "\$ 4005 (a)"
- 14. page 8, footnote 2, line 4 "[section 4005]" instead of "[section 4004]"
- 15. page 8, footnote 5, line 12 insert "2" before "ed." and "4" after "197"
- 17. page 9, line 13 "undertaking" instead of "undertakings"
- 18. page 11 "§ 233 (a)" instead of "§ 233 (2)" in line 1 following quotation
- 19. page 12, line 7 "320" instead of "319"- line 19 insert "(1950)" after "949" line 20 "no-" instead of "no"
- 20. page 13 "litmus" instead of "litmos" in line 1 of quotation insert "7" after "82" and "1963" within parentheses
- 21. page 14, line 2"Mulford" instead of "Mulfact's"
- 22. page 16, line 8 "(1962)" instead of "(1962") line 15 "...[their]" instead of "his"

- 23. page 17, footnote 8, line 2 "The" instead of "the"
- 24. page 18, line 8 insert "154 after "346 U.S." line 9
  "Co." instead of "Company" line 10
  "F. 2d" instead of "Fed."
- 25. page 18, footnote 8 "of... misrepresentation" instead of "of misrepresentation."
- 27. page 20, line 10 insert "5" after "267"
- 28. page 20, footnote 9, line 1 insert "1" after "§ 240"
- 29. page 20 and 21, footnote 9-quotation marks should appear around the provisions of 28 U.S.C. A § 2675 (a)
- 30. page 21, line 7 insert"; before "Foman" line 8 insert "; before "J. MOORE"
- 31. page 23, title, line 2 "AT" instead of "OF", "STAGE" instead of "STAGES"-line 3 "?" instead of "." line 17 "venue" instead of "venoe"
- 32. page 24, line 5 quotation marks appear around the phrase: "cannot reasonably be required to frame a responsive pleading"
- 33. page 24, line 6 insert "answered with particularity the complaint filed by the plaintiff on January 3, 1974. The defendants" before "did"

WHITED STATES ATTORNEY (7)

· 有一种种种 和 1100000